

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 105 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

SOLANKI AMRITBHAI MAGALDAS

Versus

DIST. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

Appearance:

MR JR NANAVATI for Petitioners

MR PREMAL JOSHI for MR SP HASURKAR for Respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 21/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner No.1 has been given the appointment as Unarmed Police Constable and in view of this fact, this petition has become infructuous to the

extent it relates to the claim of the petitioner No.1.

3. So far as the petitioner No.2 is concerned, he has no case on merits. In para-10 of reply to the special civil application, it is stated that from the waiting list no candidate below Sr. No.47 has been given appointment in the cadre of Unarmed Police Constable. Similarly, no person below Sr. No.74 in the waiting list has been given appointment on the post of Unarmed Police Constable. The name of the petitioner No.2 undisputedly was at Sr. No.77 in the waiting list and he has rightly been not given the appointment. None of the legal or fundamental rights of the petitioner are infringed and as a result of which this petition fails and the same is dismissed. Rule discharged. Interim relief, if any, granted stands vacated. No order as to costs.

zgs/-